



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/832,649 04/11/2001		Richard Holzner	13027.14US01	1629		
23552	7590 05/21/2003					
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			EXAMINER			
			VALENZA, JOSEPH E			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3651			
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati n No.		Applicant(s)	6					
	Offic Acti n Summary	09/832,649		HOLZNER ET AL.	V	1				
		Examiner		Art Unit		1				
		Joseph Valenza		3651						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she it with the correspondence address \text{Period for Reply}										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Statu 1	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 N</u>	1av 2003 .								
2a)	_ ·	s action is non-fir	nal.							
,	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa			osecution as to th	e merits is	ı				
•	closed in accordance with the practice under losition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-9</u> is/are pending in the application.										
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from considera	ation.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 5-9</u> is/are rejected.									
7)	☑ Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are objected to.									
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirer	ment.							
	cation Papers									
•	The specification is objected to by the Examiner									
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep									
441	Applicant may not request that any objection to the									
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examin	er.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
•	ity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	ariinior.								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35		\-(d) or (f)						
13)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 55	0.0.0. 3 119(a))-(u) or (i).						
	, , ,	s have been rece	ived							
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 									
	-									
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).										
15)	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •								
•	ment(s)	. -								
2) 🔲	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)	Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT						



Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 3 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huber et al '003.

Note motor 20, planetary gearing 50, 55 and 60, lift 40, roller 30, first brake 90 and second brake 70. Note column 4 lines 25-30 of Huber et al state that when brake 90 brakes roller 30 the drive from motor 20 is diverted not slowed to the lift means 40. While Huber is silent as to the design (electrical, mechanical, etc.) of the first and second brake means, their designs have not been proven to be critical to the operation of the claimed system. Therefor, the design of brakes 90 and 70 are considered to be functionally equivalent to those claimed. With regard to claim 3, the need for a brake gear with the first brake is a matter of choice since the first brake in Huber et al is a functional equivalent design.

- 2. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Joseph E. Valenza at telephone number (703) 308-2577. Amendments may be faxed to 703-305-7687. My normal workweek is Monday through Thursday.

JOSEPH E. VALENZA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Joseph E. Valenya